NEOLIGNANS FROM LICARIA AUREA*

José M. Bárbosa-Filho,† Marcelo S. da Silva,‡ Massayoshi Yoshida and Otto R. Gottlieb

Instituto de Quimica, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

(Received 10 January 1989)

Key Word Index—Licaria aurea; Lauraceae; diaryltetrahydrofuran neolignans; β -aryloxy-arylpropane neolignans.

Abstract—The fruit calyces of *Licaria aurea* were found to contain the diaryltetrahydrofuran type neolignans grandisin, de-O-methylgrandisin and dide-O-methylgrandisin, as well the β -aryloxy-arylpropane type neolignans virolongin A and virolongin B.

INTRODUCTION

Licaria aurea (Hub.) Kosterm. has been shown to contain the neolignans aurein (1) and eusiderin (2), in the wood [2]. The present analysis revealed the presence of fraxetin (7,8-dihydroxy-6-methoxycoumarin) [3] and mannitol in immature fruits and of the neolignans grandisin (3a) [4] de-O-methylgrandisin (3b), dide-O-methylgrandisin (3c), virolongin A (= virolongin [5]) (4a) and virolongin B (4b) [6] in the calyx. 3b is a new natural compound. 3c has previously been obtained by synthesis [7] and its isolation from Virola pavonis (A.D.C.) A. C. Smith (family Myristicaceae) has recently been announced [8].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Once it had been ascertained spectroscopically that the known 3a is identical with 3b and 3c with respect to skeleton, stereochemistry and position of oxygen containing functions, it sufficed to determine their nature and relative locations. Compound 3b has one hydroxyl and five methoxyls. The 13 C NMR spectrum indicates only one of the methoxyls ($\delta 60.8$) to be flanked by two substituents. All the other four have vacant ortho positions ($\delta 56.35 \pm 0.05$). Hence only 3b can represent the structure of this de-O-methylgrandisin. Compound 3c has two hydroxyls and four methoxyls, all with vacant ortho-positions ($\delta 56.35$). Spectral comparison of virolongin (4a) with 4b revealed that the compounds were isomers differing only with respect to the position of the double bond situated respectively at 7'.8' and 8'.9'.

Grandisin (3a) is by far the most abundant compound extracted from the calyx (14%) and it was used as the starting material for the synthesis of a series of model neolignans. Thus, acid treatment of 3a (HClO₄, HOAc,

*Part 90 in the series 'The Chemistry of Brazilian Lauraceae'. For Part 89 see ref. [1]. Based in part on the Doctorate theses presented by J.M.B.-F. and M.S. da S. to Universidade de São Paulo (1986). Present addresses: †Laboratório de Tecnologia Farmacêutica, Universidade Federal da Paraiba, 58000 João Pessoa, PB; *Departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal da Paraiba, 58000 João Pessoa, PB; Brazil.

reflux for 7 min) gave the known 5 (33%) [7, 9] and the new 6 (8%). Oxidation of 3a (KMnO₄, KOH, room temp for 30 min) gave the 7,7'-dioxoneolignans 7 (47%) and 8 (7%), both having been prepared previously by other routes [9]. Reduction of 3a (Na, NH₃) gave the new 7-hydroxyneolingnan 9a (61%). This was transformed by acid treatment into the known dihydro derivative of 5 and by hydrogenolysis into the known 9b [7, 9]. Compounds 9b and 7 were converted by VOF₃ oxidation [9] into the (8R,8'R)-isomer of the known meso-deoxyschizandrin [9] and its new 7,7'-dioxo-derivative 10. respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL

Isolation of constituents. Green fruits (250 g) were macerated in EtOH and the soln filtered and evapd. The residue (36 g) was partitioned between hexane and 60% aq EtOH. The EtOH soln was sepd and extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ layer was then sepd and evapd. The residue (3.8 g), submitted to silica gel CC, gave fraxetin (325 mg). The EtOH-H₂O layer was evapd and the residue (21.7 g), upon addition of Me₂CO, deposited crystalline mannitol (180 mg).

Fresh calyces (1 kg) were treated in the same way. The residue (88.2 g) was again partitioned as described above. The CHCl₃ extract (28 g) was submitted to silica gel CC. Elution with C_6H_6 and crystallization from Et₂O-MeOH gave 3a (12 g). Elution with C_6H_6 -EtOAc (7:3) and finally with CHCl₃ gave two mixts. A and B. A was sepd by TLC (silica gel, C_6H_6 -EtOAc 4:1) into 3b (8 mg) and 3c (15 mg). B was purified by repeated TLC using the same system to give 4a (200 mg) and 4b (30 mg).

De-O-methylgrandisin (3b). Mp 135–137°. IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{BB}}$ cm ⁻¹: 3500, 1595, 1505, 1465, 1330, 1235, 1125, 1010, 825. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{McOH}}$ nm: 235, 272, (ε 6900, 3150). ⁻¹H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ1.10 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3H-9, 3H-9'), 1.70 (m, H-8, H-8'), 3.87 (s, OMe), 3.89 (s, 2 OMe), 3.90 (s, 2 OMe), 4.67 (d, J = 8 Hz, H-7, H-7'), 5.52 (s, OH), 6.6–6.75 (m, 4ArH). ¹³C NMR (20 MHz, CDCl₃) δ13.9, 14.0 (C-9, C-9'), 51.0, 51.1 (C-8, C-8'), 56.3, 56.4 (4OMe-3,4,3'4'.), 60.8 (OMe-4'), 88.5, 88.7 (C-7, C-7'), 103.1 (C-2', C-6'), 103.4 (C-2, C-6), 133.4 (C-1), 134.4 (C-4), 138.1 (C-1', C-4'), 147.1 (C-3, C-5), 153.4 (C-3', C-5'), MS m/z (rel. int.): 418 (13, [M]+, 236 (34), 222 (33), 208 (36), 194 (25), 181 (37).

Virolongin B (4b). Oil. 1R v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1635, 1590, 1490, 1415, 1375, 1330, 1275, 1240, 1130, 1055, 980, 915, 825. UV λ_{max}^{EIGH} nm: 225, 280 (ε 13 400, 5800). ¹H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (d, J

2210 Short Reports

= 6 Hz, 3H-9), 2.70 (dd, J = 16, 8 Hz, H-7), 3.10 (dd, J = 14, 6 Hz, H-7), 3.30 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H-7'), 3.80 (s, 2 OMe), 3.85 (s, 3 OMe), 4.2-4.6 (m, H-8), 4.95-5.3 (m, 2H-9'), 5.8-6.3 (m, H-8'), 6.40 (s, H-2, H-6), 6.50 (s, H-2', H-6'). 13 C NMR (20 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.6 (C-9), 40.5 (C-7'), 43.6 (C-7), 56.0 (3 OMe), 56.6 (OMe), 60.6 (OMe), 79.5 (C-8), 105.7 (C-2', C-6'), 106.6 (C-2, C-6), 115.8 (C-9'), 134.5 (C-1'), 134.8 (C-1), 135.3 (C-4'), 136.5 (C-4), 137.2 (C-8'), 152.8 (C-3', C-5'), 153.6 (C-3, C-5), MS m/z (rel. int.): 402 (11, [M]⁺, 221 (6), 209 (100), 208 (30), 194 (23), 193 (14), 181 (10), 178 (11), 165 (5), 151 (9), 135 (7), 107 (9), 91 (19), 77 (13), 65 (7), CD (c 1.0 mg/25 ml MeOH): $[\theta]_{220}$ 0, $[\theta]_{239}$ - 3400, $[\theta]_{250}$ - 2800, $[\theta]_{285}$ - 400, $[\theta]_{370}$ 0.

Tp = 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl

(7S,8S,8'R)-7-Hydroxy-3,4,5,3',4',5'-hexamethoxy-8.8'-neolignan (9a). Mp 124–126° (MeOH). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm $^{-1}$: 3530, 1590, 1510, 1460, 1330, 1235, 1130, 1005, 965. UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ 230, 280 (ε 6100, 1500). 1 H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$) δ 0.65 (d, J=7 Hz, 3H-9'), 0.90 (brs, 3H-9), 2.0 (m, H-8, H-8'), 2.55 (brs, 2H-7'), 3.84 (s,

6OMe), 4.40 (*d*, *J* = 12 Hz, H-7), 6.45 (*s*, H-2′, H-6′), 6.57 (*s*, H-2, H-6). $^{1.3}$ C NMR (20 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.6 (C-9), 12.5 (C-9′), 33.0 (C-8′), 41.9 (C-7′), 42.5 (C-8), 76.8 (C-7), 55.4 (4 OMe), 60.1 (2 OMe), 103.2 (C-2′, C-6′), 105.6 (C-2, C-6), 135.7, 136.5, 136.8, 139.9 (C-1, C-1′, C-4, C-4′), 152.3 (C-3, C-5, C-3′, C-5′). MS *m/z* (rel. int.): 434 (10, [M] + .416 (12), 249 (1), 238 (20), 210 (2), 208 (28), 198 (13), 197 (100), 181 (58), 169 (80).

10

(8S,8'S)-3,4,5,3',4',5'-Hexamethoxy-7.7'-dioxo-2.2',8.8'-neolignan (10). Mp 116–118°. IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 1695, 1558, 1480, 1380, 1340, 1200, 1010, 935. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm: 228, 265, 305 (ε 13750, 3350, 1550). ¹H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H-9, 3H-9'), 3.7–3.9 (m, H-8, H-8'), 3.88, 3.90, 3.93 (3s, 6 OMe), 7.20, 7.30 (2s, H-6, H-6'). MS m/z (rel. int.): 321 (100), 278 (6), 250 (3), 195 (11), 179 (4), 151 (4).

3,4,5,3',4',5'-Hexamethoxy-8'.8',2.7'-neolignan (6). Mp 73-75° (Et₂O-hexane). IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 1605, 1575, 1500, 1463, 1395, 1255, 1095, 888. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm: 236, 283 (ϵ 19550, 2450).

 1 H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (s, 3H-9, 3H-9'), 3.90, 3.92, 4.02 (3s, 6 OMe), 6.85 (s, H-2', H-6'), 7.45 (s, H-6), 7.80 (s, H-7). 13 C NMR (20 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.8, 19.9 (C-9, C-9'), 55.6 (3 OMe), 60.9, 61.1 (3 OMe), 101.5 (C-2', C-6'), 121.0 (C-2, C-7), 126.1 (C-1, C-7'), 129.5 (C-8'), 133.0 (C-8, C-1'), 135.1 (C-4'), 140.1 (C-4, C-6), 147.2 (C-3, C-5), 152.2 (C-3', C-5').

Acknowledgements—The authors are indebted to CNPq, FAPESP and FINEP for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Barbosa-Filho, J. M., Yoshida, M. and Gottlieb, O. R. (1989) Phytochemistry 28, 1991.
- Gottlieb, O. R., Maia, J. G. S. and Mourão, J. C. (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 1289.

- 3. Almeida, M. E. L. de, Gottlieb, O. R., Souza, J. R. de and Teixeira, M. A. (1974) Phytochemistry 13, 1225.
- Holloway, D. and Scheinmann, F. (1974) Phytochemistry 13, 1333.
- MacRae, W. D. and Towers, G. H. N. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 561.
- Forrest, J. E., Heacock, R. A. and Forrest, T. P. (1974) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 205.
- Sarkanen, K. V. and Wallis, A. F. A. (1973) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1869.
- Ferri, P. H. and Barata, L. E. S. (1987) 39th Congress of Sociedade Brasileira para o Progresso da Ciência, Abstract 18-D.2.5.
- 9. Biftu, T., Hazra, B. G. and Stevenson, R. (1979) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 2276.

Phytochemistry, Vol. 28, No. 8, pp. 2211-2212, 1989. Printed in Great Britain.

0031-9422/89 \$3.00+0.00 © 1989 Pergamon Press plc.

HAPLOXANTHONE FROM HAPLOCLATHRA SPECIES

TANUS J. NAGEM and JOSÉ C. DA SILVEIRA

Departamento de Química do Instituto de Ciências Exatas and Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, 31.270-Brasil

(Received 16 November 1988)

Key Word Index—Haploclathra leiantha; H. paniculata; Guttiferae; 1,3,7-trihydroxy-8-methoxyxanthone; haploxanthone.

Abstract—A new xanthone was isolated from the trunk wood of *Haploclathra leiantha* and *H. paniculata* and its structure determined by UV, IR, NMR and mass spectrometry as 1,3,7-trihydroxy-8-methoxyxanthone.

INTRODUCTION

Previously, we reported the isolation of 'Leiaxanthone' [1] and anthaxanthone [2] from Haploclathra leiantha., and 3,7-dihydroxy-1,8-dimethoxyxanthone from H. paniculata besides several known xanthones (see Experimental of refs [1, 3]). Now we describe the isolation and characterization of a new 1,3,7,8-tetraoxygenated xanthone from both species for which we give the trivial name 'haploxanthone'. In this communication we report its structure as 1 which to our knowledge is the first report of the occurrence of a tetraoxygenated xanthone from this source.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Haploxanthone (1), obtained from chloro-form-methanol fractions by chromatography of extracts of the trunk wood of H. leiantha and H. paniculata, was crystallized from ethanol as yellow crystals, mp 250–252°. On the basis of elementary analysis and mass spectrometry the molecular formula was assigned as $C_{14}H_{10}O_6$. The xanthone (1) formed a dimethyl ether (2)

with diazomethane, a trimethyl ether (3) with dimethyl sulphate-potassium carbonate and a triacetyl derivative (4) with acetic anhydride. Hence, the compound was a trihydroxymethoxyxanthone in which one of the hydroxyl groups is chelated.

The UV spectrum of 1 showing $\lambda_{max}^{\text{EiOH}}$ (nm) 242, 264, 322 and 383 (ε resp. 31400, 35800, 21000 and 13700) is characteristic of a 1,3,7,8-tetraoxygenated xanthone [4]. The presence of a 1,3,7,8-tetraoxygenated system was

 $1 R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = H, R^4 = Me$

7 R1 = H R2 = R3 = R4 = Me

 $3 R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = Me$

4 $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = Ac$, $R^4 = Me$